

# MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

## ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

Doc Num: DEPLW0059-D2002 Rev Date: 12/11/02

Permittee: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Contact Person: \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone No. \_\_\_\_\_  
NPDES/MEPDES Permit No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Maine License No. \_\_\_\_\_

### 1. Information on Combined Sewer System

- A. Current sewered population \_\_\_\_\_
- B. Current number of residential users \_\_\_\_\_
- C. Current number of commercial/industrial users \_\_\_\_\_
- D. Current average residential user charge \_\_\_\_\_ (\$/year)
- E. Median Household Income (MHI) \_\_\_\_\_ (\$/year)
- F. Current residential user charge is \_\_\_\_\_ % of MHI
- G. Original number of CSOs at beginning of abatement program \_\_\_\_\_
- H. Current number of CSOs \_\_\_\_\_
- I. Percent reduction of CSO points to date \_\_\_\_\_
- J. List any CSOs removed in reporting year
- | CSO # | Name  |
|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ |
- K. Total sewer footage \_\_\_\_\_ feet
- L. Original % combined sewer to total \_\_\_\_\_ %
- M. Current % combined sewer to total \_\_\_\_\_ %
- N. Percent reduction of combined sewer \_\_\_\_\_ %

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

2. CSO Progress

A. Current Approved Schedule. (If you have an approved schedule, it is attached as a paper.)

B. If existing schedule is behind the approved schedule, list the reasons why and how the permittee proposes to catch up in order to comply with the approved schedule.

C. List major accomplishments last year to reduce or abate CSOs

| Project | Estimate of flow reductions |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| _____   | _____                       |
| _____   | _____                       |
| _____   | _____                       |
| _____   | _____                       |

D. Costs:

- |   |          |
|---|----------|
| 1) Total original cost estimate for complete program from CSO Master Plan | \$ _____ |
| 2) Total cost of CSO abatement to date                                    | \$ _____ |
| 3) Percent complete by cost (2 / 1 above)                                 | \$ _____ |
| 4) Total SRF loans to date  | \$ _____ |
| 5) Total cost of CSO projects in reporting year                           | \$ _____ |
| 6) Anticipated budget for CSO projects next year                          | \$ _____ |
| 7) Sewer O&M budget in reporting year                                     | \$ _____ |
| 8) Anticipated sewer O&M budget for next year                             | \$ _____ |
| 9) Estimated CSO needs for next five years (include cost in no.6)         | \$ _____ |

E. Private inflow sources:

- |  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| 1) Has a house to house survey been done?          | Yes _____ No _____ |
| 2) If yes, when?                                   | _____              |
| 3) If no, is one planned?                          | _____              |
| 4) When?   | _____              |
| 5) Roof leaders removed date                       | _____              |
| 6) Roof leaders removed in reporting year          | _____              |
| 7) Known roof leaders remaining in system          | _____              |
| 8) Basement sump pumps removed to date             | _____              |
| 9) Basement sump pumps removed in reporting year   | _____              |
| 10) Known sump pumps remaining in system           | _____              |
| 11) Number of known foundation drains to system    | _____              |
| 12) Do you charge a surcharge for private sources? | Yes _____ No _____ |
| 13) If yes, how much?                              | \$ _____           |

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

F. Other inflow sources

- 1) Number of catch basins removed this year \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) Number of catch basins remaining in system \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) Wetlands/bogs draining to sewer? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Streams intercepted by sewer? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) If yes to 3 or 4, what plans are there to deal with them? \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

G. Results of any specific flow monitoring to determine effectiveness of previous CSO abatement projects. Compare actual CSO abatement with projections made during the CSO Master Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

H. Yearly precipitation, CSO events, volumes, or block test data.  
(Enter data on Excel spreadsheet Csoflows.xls)

I. Work done on the Nine Minimum Controls during the year.

- 1) Results of operation and maintenance (O&M) program for the sewer system and combined sewer system overflows during the year.

a. Who is responsible for combined sewer system O&M?

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Tel. No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Title \_\_\_\_\_  
Dept. \_\_\_\_\_  
Size Staff \_\_\_\_\_

b. Inspection schedules

|                                |                           |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Number of CSO regulators _____ | Inspector intervals _____ |
| Number of tide gates _____     | Inspector intervals _____ |
| Number of pump stations _____  | Inspector intervals _____ |
| Number of CSO outfalls _____   | Inspector intervals _____ |

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

- c. Document the following activities that were performed and include the tons of debris removed last year from catch basins and sewers. (Not all activities may apply to your system. Please use N/A when appropriate.)

Catch Basin Cleaning

|                                |                                  |                        |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Total # of Basins<br>Last Year | # of Basins Cleaned<br>Last Year | Debris Removed         |
| _____                          | _____                            | _____ Tons or cu. yds. |

(Please attach cleaning schedule if available)

Sewer Cleaning

|                      |                              |                        |
|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Total Combined Sewer | Footage Cleaned<br>Last Year | Debris Removed         |
| _____                | _____                        | _____ Tons or cu. yds. |

(Please attach cleaning schedule if available)

Pump Station Cleaning

|                    |                      |
|--------------------|----------------------|
| Cleaning Frequency | Inspection Frequency |
| _____              | _____                |

TV Work

|                         |              |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Sewer Footage Televised | TV Frequency |
| _____ lin. ft.          | _____        |

Smoke Testing

|                            |                        |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Sewer Footage Smoke Tested | Dates of Smoke Testing |
| _____ lin. ft.             | _____                  |

Infiltration/Inflow Study

Sewer Footage Study Was Performed On  
\_\_\_\_\_ lin. ft.

2) Maximum Use of the Collection System for Storage

*Maximum use of the collection system for storage means making relatively simple modifications to the combined sewer system to enable the system itself to store wet weather flows until downstream sewers and treatment facilities can handle them. The municipality should evaluate more complex modifications as part of the long-term control plan.*

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

- a. List any regulators or weirs that were adjusted last year to optimum settings for maximum storage.

---

---

---

---

---

- b. Document attempts last year to retard inflows to the system by use of special gratings or flow control type devices.

Number of Special Storm Drain Gratings Installed \_\_\_\_\_

Comments \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Number of Flow Control Type Devices

Installed \_\_\_\_\_

Comments \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

- c. Describe any tide gate maintenance and repair to eliminate tidal intrusions.

Tide Gate

Maintenance/Repair

|       |       |
|-------|-------|
| <hr/> | <hr/> |
| <hr/> | <hr/> |

Attach a schedule for implementation of any minor construction associated with maximizing the collection system for storage.

- 3) Review and Modification of the Industrial Pretreatment Program to Assure that CSO Impacts Are Minimized

*The municipality should determine whether nondomestic sources are contributing to CSO impact and, if so, investigate ways to control them. The objective of this control is to minimize the impacts of discharges into combined sewer systems from significant nondomestic sources (i.e., industrial and commercial sources during wet weather events, and to minimize CSO occurrences by modifying inspection, reporting, and oversight procedures within the approved pretreatment program.*

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

**Fill in this section only if you have nondomestic source of wastewater.**

Do you have an industry that significantly impacts a CSO? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

What measures or modifications were taken last year to insure that nondomestic sources are not contributing to CSO impacts. (Examples of measures: Inventory of nondomestic discharges to the combined sewer, assessment of nondomestic discharges on CSOs, evaluation of feasible modifications)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

4) Maximization of Flow to the POTW for Treatment

*Maximizing flow to the POTW entails simple modifications to the combined sewer system and treatment plant to enable as much wet weather flow as possible to reach the treatment plant. The objective of this minimum control is to reduce the magnitude, frequency, and duration of CSOs that flow untreated into receiving waters.*

a. List any change, completed or planned last year to maximize flow to the POTW.

| PLANNED PHYSICAL<br>CHANGE | ESTIMATED<br>COST | ESTIMATED<br>COMPLETION<br>DATE | ESTIMATED<br>YEARLY<br>DECREASE IN<br>EVENTS | ESTIMATED<br>YEARLY<br>DECREASE IN<br>VOLUME (MGD) |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                            |                   |                                 |  |  |
|                            |                   |                                 |  |  |
|                            |                   |                                 |  |  |
|                            |                   |                                 |  |  |

5) Prohibition of CSO Discharges During Dry Weather

*This control includes all measures taken to ensure that the combined sewer system does not overflow during dry weather flow conditions. Dry weather overflow control measures include improved O&M as well as physical changes to regulator and overflow devices.*

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

- a. Did you have a dry weather overflow during the last year? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
If yes, explain.

---

---

---

---

---

- b. What measures are planned to prevent further dry weather overflows?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

6) Control of Solid and Floatable Material in CSO Discharges

*The intent of this control is to document that low cost control measures have been implemented which reduce solids and floatables discharged from CSOs to the maximum extent practicable.*

- a. List any of the following control measures that were implemented last year to reduce solids and floatables discharged from CSOs.

Baffles in regulators or overflow structures:

Number of Baffles Installed: \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_\_

Trash Racks in CSO discharge structures:

Number of Trash Racks Installed: \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_\_

Catch basin modifications:

Number of Modifications Installed: \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_\_

End of pipe nets:

Number of Nets Installed \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_\_

Litter Controls:

Liter Control: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_\_

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

Other Controls:

Type of Control \_\_\_\_\_ Success: Good \_\_\_\_ Fair \_\_\_\_ Poor \_\_\_\_

The estimated amount of solids and floatables removed last year by implementing the above control measures.

\_\_\_\_\_ tons or cu. yds.

(Attach any schedules and associated costs for implementation of this control.)

7) Pollution Prevention Programs That Focus on Contaminant Reduction Activities

*The seventh minimum control, pollution prevention, is intended to keep contaminants from entering the combined sewer system and thus receiving water via CSOs.*

a. Document any of the following efforts last year to implement this control.

Public education or increased awareness programs that encourage water conservation and could decrease dry weather sanitary flow to the POTW and increase the volume of wet weather flows that can be treated at the POTW.

---

---

---

---

---

The placement of garbage receptacles, more efficient garbage collection, or through public education you have implemented.

---

---

---

---

---

Street sweeping efforts with estimate of material removed. \_\_\_\_\_ tons or cu. yds.

---

---

---

---



MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

Anti-litter campaigns; campaigns through public outreach and public service announcements employed to educate the public about effects of littering, over fertilizing, pouring used motor oil down catch basins, etc.

---

---

---

---

---

Efforts to eliminate illegal dumping. Programs such as law enforcement and public education aimed at controlling illegal dumping of litter, tires, and other materials into water bodies or onto the ground.

---

---

---

---

---

- b. Does the community have a hazardous waste collection program? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
If yes, how often is it done and how much hazardous waste is collected?

---

---

---

---

---

- c. List and describe any measures planned or implemented for the installation of best management practices (BMP) to reduce pollutants in stormwater runoff.

---

---

---

---

---

- d. List and describe other pollution prevention measures planned for implementation and the names of individuals or departments responsible. Attach any schedules and cost estimates associated with this control.

---

---

---

---

---

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

8) Public Notification to Ensure That the Public Receives Adequate Notification of CSO Occurrences and CSO Impacts

*The objective of this control is to ensure that the public receives adequate notification of CSO impacts on pertinent water use areas. Of particular concern are beach and recreational areas that are affected by pollutants discharged in CSOs.*

a. Locations where signs are posted.

CSO outfalls: \_\_\_\_\_

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

b. List dates of CSO informational public hearings or meetings last year.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

c. List any other measures to inform the public that occurred last year.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

9) Monitoring to Effectively Characterize CSO Impacts and the Effectiveness of CSO Controls

*The ninth minimum control involves visual inspection and other simple methods to determine the occurrence and apparent impacts of CSOs. This minimum control is an initial characterization of the combined sewer system to collect and document information on overflow occurrences and known water quality problems and incidents, such as beach or shellfish bed closures, that reflect use impairments caused by CSOs. Changes in the occurrences of such incidents can provide a preliminary indication of the effectiveness of the Nine Minimum Controls.*

a. Check off and fill in information on the following monitoring methods used in overflow structures:

Flow Meters

Locations

Frequency Data Collected

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

|       |       |
|-------|-------|
| _____ | _____ |
| _____ | _____ |

Blocks

| Locations | Inspection Frequency |
|-----------|----------------------|
| _____     | _____                |
| _____     | _____                |
| _____     | _____                |
| _____     | _____                |

Chalklines

| Locations | Inspection Frequency |
|-----------|----------------------|
| _____     | _____                |
| _____     | _____                |

Other monitoring methods?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

- b. Was a SWMM model developed? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Is the model used to report occurrences? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Has it been updated to reflect changes: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

- c. CSO impacts to swimming beaches and shellfishing areas.

List any swimming beaches that may be impacted by your CSOs.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Does your community or other entity test the water quality at beaches or near your CSOs?  
Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Frequency? \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, list dates of test and results

| Dates | Results |
|-------|---------|
| _____ | _____   |
| _____ | _____   |
| _____ | _____   |

Any beach closing last year? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
Were they caused, in whole or in part by CSOs? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

What are the procedures for notifying the public of beach closures?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

List any shellfishing areas that may be impacted by your CSOs Area

Open

Conditionally Opened

Closed

| Open | Conditionally Opened | Closed |
|------|----------------------|--------|
|      |                      |        |
|      |                      |        |

Any shellfish areas closed last year? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, list dates:

|  |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |

If yes, were the closures caused, in whole or in part by CSOs? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide a map showing any swimming beaches or shellfish area that may be impacted by your CSOs.

Please provide results of any receiving water quality tests or CSO sampling tests done last year.

- J. List any sewer extensions and new commercial or industrial flows added during the year, along with any mitigating measures implemented to prevent these flows from contributing to CSO flows.

|  |
|--|
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
ANNUAL CSO PROGRESS REPORT FOR 2002

K. To assist the DEP in making this form easier to use in future years, please list your computer capabilities:

Processor capability: \_\_\_\_\_

Operating system (Windows version): \_\_\_\_\_

Word processing program and version: \_\_\_\_\_

Spreadsheet program and version: \_\_\_\_\_

Database program and version: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail capability and address: \_\_\_\_\_

Do you plan to upgrade hardware or software in 2002, and if so with what?

(Note: DEP uses Windows 95 and Office 97 with Word, Excel and Access)

---

---

---

---

Please add any other information on CSOs that you feel is important, but the form did not allow for.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---